PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| rom the NTERNATIONAL SEAT | RCHING AUTHORITY | | REC'D 20 MAY LUUJ |
|---|--|--|--|
| To: | | | WIPO |
| see form | PCT/ISA/220 | INTERNATIO | TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1) |
| | | Date of mailing (day/month/year) se | e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) |
| Applicant's or agent's file | | FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 belo | |
| International application PCT/IB2005/050228 | No. International filing date (| (day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) 28.01.2004 |
| | ssification (IPC) or both national classification | and IPC | |
| Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHI | LIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. | | |
| Box No. I Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII | applicability; citations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application observations on the internation | gard to novelty, inventois.1(a)(i) with regard to no supporting such sta | o novelty, inventive step or industrial |
| If a demand for written opinion the applicant content international B will not be so content. | r International preliminary examination is of the International Preliminary Examinithooses an Authority other than this one sureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written considered. | to be the IPEA and the opinions of the averteen opinion of the | e chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority e IPEA, the applicant is invited to |
| 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | PEA a written reply together, where app he date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 | Montiata with attletion | Helio, reinte lije explication of times |
| | lions, see Form PCT/ISA/220. | | |
| | | | |
| Name and mailing add | dress of the ISA: | Authorized Officer | Nicha's Paleone of |
| D-80299 | an Patent Office 8 Munich 9 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d 9 89 2399 - 4465 | Stemmer, M Telephone No. +49 | 9 89 2399-2282 |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050228

| | Box No | | | |
|------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 1. | With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. | | | |
| • | laı (u | is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage into the following anguage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and respondent the following and respondent to the following are supposed in the following and respondent to the following are supposed in the following and respondent to the following are supposed in the | | |
| 2. | . With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: | | | |
| a. type of material: | | | | |
| | | a sequence listing | | |
| | | table(s) related to the sequence listing | | |
| b. format of material: | | nat of material: | | |
| | | in written format | | |
| | | in computer readable form | | |
| | c. time | e of filing/furnishing: | | |
| | | contained in the international application as filed. | | |
| | | filed together with the international application in computer readable form. | | |
| • | | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. | | |
| 3 | h . c | n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or fumished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. | | |

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050228

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

1

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-9

No:

No:

Claims

Claims

1,10,11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

9

No: Claims

1-8,10,11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/IB2005/050228

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 202 864 (MORIYA ET AL) 13 April 1993 (1993-04-13)

D2: WO 02/05271 A (DISCCONTROL APS UNDER STIFTELSE; ANDERSEN, PALLE; PEDERSEN, TOM, SOEND) 17 January 2002 (2002-01-17)

- 2. The subject-matter of claims 1, 10, 11 is not novel (Art 33(2) PCT) and the subject-matter of claims 3-8 is not involving an inventive step (Art 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:
- 2.1 As for claim 1 the document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A device (fig 1) for scanning an optical disc (1), the disc comprising a pattern (spiral-shaped tracks) of substantially parallel data tracks, the device comprising an optical pick up unit (3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13) for creating, from a light beam, a spot on a data track of the pattern;

means (12) for moving the spot relative to the pattern;

means (14,15,16) for determining a radial tracking error signal, the radial tracking error signal indicating a deviation of the spot relative to the data track, the means for determining the radial tracking error signal being arranged for determining a periodic signal (fig 2,3) from the radial tracking error signal while the spot is radially moving across the pattern, a period of the periodic signal corresponding to a pitch of the data tracks;

and means (col 7 l 42-44) for detecting a tilt angle between an optical axis of the pick up unit and the optical disc, the means for detecting the tilt angle being arranged for detecting an asymmetry in the periodic signal during the period (D1 col 4 l 42 - col 8 l 14; fig 1-3).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

This applies mutatis mutandis to claims 10 and 11.

- 2.2 As for claims 2-4 the stipulated features are considered to be within the scope what a skilled person, based on common knowledge would take into consideration, to determine the asymmetry of the period signal.
 - The subject-matter of claims 2-4 can therefore not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 2.3 As for claims 5-7 the stipulated features are considered to be within the scope what a skilled person, based on common knowledge would take into consideration, to access a given location of the optical disk.
 - The subject-matter of claims 5-7 can therefore not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 2.4 As for claim 8 the problem to be solved by the additional features is regarded as to avoid repeated acquisition of an imperfection of the optical disc (e.g. the tilt angle). To solve the problem D2 (p 8 I 29- p 9 I 15) proposes a solution with features as stipulated in the present claim 8.
 - A combination departing from the disclosure of D1 with the teaching of D2 is therefore regarded to be obvious.
 - The solution proposed in claim 8 can therefore not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 2.5 The combination of the features of dependent claim 9 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art.
 - The combination of the features of dependent claim 9 achieves the effect that a tilt map of a disc can be established by a small number of measurements when using appropriate tilt models.

The subject-matter of claim 9 could therefore be considered both novel and inventive

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050228

(Article 33 (2) and (3) PCT)